



**Chevy Chase Village
Board of Managers**

Executive Session-6:15 p.m.

The Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers will convene in closed session in the Chevy Chase Village Hall, 5906 Connecticut Avenue, Chevy Chase, MD 20815, pursuant to Section 3-305(b) (7) and (8) of the General Provisions Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, to consult with Counsel to obtain legal advice on legal and zoning matters and potential litigation.

1. Meeting Called To Order
Mr. Michael L. Denger, Chair
2. Variance And Special Permit Requests
3. Treasurer's Report
Mr. Gary Crockett, Board Treasurer

Documents:

[TREASURER REPORT JULYSEPTEMBER.PDF](#)

4. Committee Matters
Environment & Energy Committee

- Discussion RE: Committee's Proposals to Control the Use of Leaf Blowers

Dr. Marea Hatzios Grant & Dr. Marilyn Bracken, Committee Co-Chairs

Documents:

[REDUCING POLLUTION FROM LEAF BLOWERS.PDF](#)

5. Police Report

- Board Ratification of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Village Police Department and the Montgomery County Department of Police outlining the mutually agreed upon responsibilities and authorities of each department -
Approved

Mr. Adventino Dasilva, Police Lieutenant

Documents:

[MOU MOCO POLICE AND CCV POLICE.PDF](#)
[AUGUST 2016 POLICE REPORT.PDF](#)

6. Manager's Report

- Building and Tree Permits and Code Enforcement Report
- Capital and Infrastructure Upgrade Projects Report
- Village Hall Activity Report
- Legal Counsel Report

Documents:

[BUILDING AND TREE REMOVAL PERMITS AND ACTIVITY- JULY.PDF](#)
[BUILDING AND TREE REMOVAL PERMITS AND ACTIVITY- AUGUST.PDF](#)
[CAPITAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE PROJECTS.PDF](#)
[VILLAGE HALL USE.PDF](#)

Treasurer's Report

July-September (2 months of FY 2017)

Overall:

This report is a two month snapshot of FY 2017. The Village receives little revenue in the first two months of the fiscal year with the most prominent being the tax duplication payment that comes from the county in the amount of \$100,524. We have a budgeted deficit of 46,117, and it is still too early to project how we will end up in relation to this number by the end of the year. Our FY.2016 audit is not officially completed yet and the final 2016 audited numbers will be available when it becomes finalized.

Income Tax Revenue:

We will not have any significant income tax information until the end of November.

Property Tax Revenue:

We project this to come in at budget since the Village approved the constant yield for FY 2017.

Safe Speed Net Revenue:

So far this year it is trending about 15% below budget for the first two months. There has some been construction during these two months which has had an impact on safe speed citations.

Miscellaneous Revenue:

As of now it is tracking slightly above budget. We received a tax duplication payment of \$100, 524, which was about \$15,000 above the budgeted amount.


Capital Expense:

Our expenses are projected to be \$227,500 and we have not undertaken any of these projects yet.


Operating Expense:

We project this will be 2-3%% below budget.

Revenue and Expenses Budget versus Projected



Expenses Budgeted versus Projected



June 30, 2016

MEMORANDUM to the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers

From: The Village Environment and Energy Committee

Subject: Reducing Pollution from Leaf Blowers

The Environment and Energy Committee would like to call the Board's attention to a persistent environmental issue that is detrimental to the health and well-being of residents of Chevy Chase Village and an increasing source of frustration to residents who are chronically exposed. The issue is pollution from leaf blowers. This memorandum provides background information and some suggested actions the Board might consider initiating.

Background

Pollution from portable, two-stroke engine leaf blowers (and other portable outdoor power equipment) is significant. This includes:

- (i) high frequency noise pollution, which is not only irritating and emotionally stressful, but can cause cumulative hearing loss to those exposed at close range, without adequate protection;
- (ii) exposure to carbon pollution, in the form of micro-particles (so called black carbon) and carbon monoxide—both highly toxic;
- (iii) greenhouse gasses in the form of Nitrogen Oxides (NOX) and Sulfur Oxides (SOX);

Additionally, any leaf blower, whether gas or electric, creates pollution in the form of dust and debris including mold and fungal spores, weed seeds, pollen, lawn pesticides, and animal feces. This dust, blown into the air at high velocity, may remain air borne for hours, causing allergic reactions, aggravating existing respiratory conditions to those exposed and putting children and seniors, who are more vulnerable, at risk.¹

While the Village has adopted Montgomery County regulations on the maximum decibel level that leaf blowers may emit² along with restrictions to daytime hours of operation, there are no provisions to address the more serious pollution issues related to carbon emissions, air-borne dust and debris--and their cumulative impacts on residents' health and the environment. These are significant concerns which could benefit from greater community awareness.

Mary Cheh, DC Council Member of Ward 3, introduced legislation in January 2016 (the Leaf Blower Regulation Amendment Act of 2016) to amend the Noise Control Act of 1977. The legislation would prohibit the sale and use of gasoline-powered leaf blowers in the District, effective January 1, 2022. This delayed entry into force would allow lawn-care providers time to phase in electric leaf blowers, as they replace gas-powered blowers whose useful time limit (typically 5 years) has been reached. No final vote has been taken by the Council yet, but the Environment and Energy Committee are following this

¹ "Most gas-powered leaf blowers and weed trimmers are 2-stroke engines, which are light and inexpensive, but remarkably inefficient at fuel combustion. They emit high levels of nitrous oxide (NO), hydrocarbons (HC), and carbon monoxide (CO). According to the California Air Resources Board, these engines emit 499 times more HC, 49 times more particulates, and 26 times more carbon monoxide than an average car. With wind speeds exceeding 180 MPH, mold and fungal spores, weed seeds, pollen, lawn pesticides, and animal feces are blown into the air that we breathe, staying aloft for hours to even days. Our children are particularly vulnerable with their small but rapidly growing bodies, and because they are most likely to be playing outdoors." *Lawrence S. Block, MD. Swamscott Board of Health, MA.*

² Although Section 31B-9 of the Montgomery County Noise Control Ordinance limits the noise level of leaf blowers sold and operated in the Country to 70 dB at a distance of 50 feet this standard is not practical for an area such as the village, which has houses that immediately adjoin one another. The County Department of Environment will come out and test equipment in neighborhoods in response to complaints registered by residents that noise levels may be exceeding the 70 dB limit.

proposal, which if implemented, would set a precedent for landscape companies operating in the area to follow, thus likely standardizing equipment that is used in surrounding communities

Not only would switching to electric powered blowers and lawn equipment sharply reduce air pollution (including Green House Gas effects) if electricity is generated through wind or other renewables, according to the Washington Post and Consumer Reports³, the best handheld electric leaf blowers are comparable in power to gas-powered blowers, weigh less, and generate less noise pollution. The amount of dust and debris churned up would still be a concern, but this can be mitigated to some extent by putting the power mower on a lower setting.

Of course, the best way to eliminate all impacts from leaf blowers on the Village is to ban their use. This would result in a reduction of noise, infringement of residents' enjoyment of a tranquil atmosphere in the Village, air pollution, and respiratory and other health concerns. The Committee is not recommending a ban at this time but suggests some actions by the Board that will make our neighborhood quieter and cleaner

Suggested Board Actions:

The E & E Committee requests that the Board recognize leaf blower noise and pollution as a serious problem which can be reduced by Board and resident actions. Proposed actions include the following:

1. Send a letter to inform Village residents of this concern and ask that residents talk with their contractors about ways to reduce noise and air pollution. We ask that individual homeowners instruct their lawn care and leaf removal services to use the lowest possible fan speed on their current equipment to decrease the amount of noise and also to decrease the amount of atmospheric pollution from allergens and bacteria.
2. Limit leaf blowing and lawn care clean-up to electric-only apparatus. This measure has become increasingly common among communities as a first step..
3. Consider adopting regulations to phase out use of gas-powered leaf blowers in the Village within a given time frame. This would be informed by the responses from a survey of residents, conducted by the Environment and Energy Committee (see below).
4. Help enforce Montgomery County regulations that require all leaf blowers operating in Montgomery County to operate at 70 dB or less. Residents and Village staff can check for ANSI (American National Standards Institute) sticker on the leaf blower, specifying the sound level output of the equipment. If the equipment does not have a sticker, the leaf lower should be tested to ensure compliance with regulations. Testing could be done by Montgomery Co. Department of Environment staff or Village staff. Repeated documented use of a non-compliant blower could result in a fine and other actions.

Resident Actions:

If you employ a lawn service, suggest that when they change equipment, they switch to electric or battery powered machines. In the meantime, advise their workers to use the lowest setting possible when operating their equipment, blowers, mowers, etc. Use only one machine at a time to reduce the noise. Remind lawn care services that equipment must be in compliance with Montgomery County noise

³ The Washington Post, "How bad for the environment are gas-powered leaf blowers?"
https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/how-bad-for-the-environment-are-gas-powered-leaf-blowers/2013/09/16/8eed7b9a-18bb-11e3-a628-7e6dde8f889d_story.html

regulations. Residents can look to see if there is an ANSI sticker indicating maximum decibel level on the equipment.

Environment and Energy Committee Actions:

1. Sponsor a lecture on alternatives to gas-powered leaf blowers and other lawn equipment as part of the Sustainable Living Lecture Series. This could include a review of best practice in other neighborhoods and across the country, as well as a list of equipment that provides residents with more environmentally friendly options. The lecture could also serve as a forum for informed discussion on the issue, including feedback from residents.
2. Conduct a survey of residents' views on the use of leaf blowers in Chevy Chase Village, and whether they would be in favor of phasing out gas-powered blowers or all blowers over a specified time period. Compile results and submit to the Board for further action.

We look forward to the Board's response, and to moving forward with several of the actions proposed.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Marea Hatziolos, PhD

Marilyn Bracken, PhD

On behalf of the Environment and Energy Committee

Memo

To: Board of Managers
CC: Shana Davis-Cook, Village Manager
From: John M. Fitzgerald, Chief of Police 
Date: September 6, 2016
Re: Updated Memorandum of Understanding between the Chevy Chase Village Police Department and the Montgomery County Police Department

For many years, the Chevy Chase Village Police Department and the Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD) have had a written Memorandum of Agreement dealing with operational issues. Last year, I started the process of updating the 2006 MOU.

Lt. Dasilva and I worked with MCPD staff to make mostly minor revisions to reflect changes in the report writing process (we write our own). The only notable modification resolves a problem that crops up from time to time. For many years, the language of the MOU has contained language which states that each agency will serve the other's warrants that come to their attention. The reciprocal language has worked for the most part, but it was imperfect.

With few exceptions in Maryland law, Village police officers have no police authority in Maryland outside of the Village boundaries. When a wanted person comes to the attention of a Village police officer, the officer may arrest that individual and serve the warrant only if the officer makes that arrest within the confines of the Village. Most of the time, our contacts occur within the Village, and there is no problem. There are times, however, when the contact occurs outside of the Village and the results are problematic. This is best illustrated by a realistic hypothetical:

A Village police officer observes a car run a stop sign on northbound Brookville Road at Primrose Street and gets the car stopped on Brookville at Raymond Street in Martin's Additions (this happens regularly). While on that traffic stop, the officer learns that the driver is wanted on a bench warrant for failing to appear for court on charges of heroin possession and shoplifting. The officer would be able to write the traffic ticket, but he/she would have no authority to arrest the driver and serve the warrant. Our officer would have to call for assistance from Montgomery County and remain on the traffic stop until a county officer arrived. During busy periods, this could easily take 30 minutes or more. This is not only inefficient, but it compromises our employee's legal standing as well as their safety.

From a legal standpoint, the officer in the above hypo is in the awkward position of having no police authority to detain or arrest the driver on the warrant, yet he/she feels obligated to detain the wanted person until a county officer arrives. From a safety perspective, the officer has to stand by with

an un-handcuffed wanted person for an uncomfortably long period of time. There is an easy fix for this problem through the MOU process.

Maryland law enables police chiefs to enter into an agreement which would allow officers to serve arrest warrants in each other's jurisdiction. Village Counsel Suellen Ferguson worked with Assistant Montgomery County Attorney David Stevenson to create language to insert into the MOU which would enable a Village police officer to serve arrest warrants that come to their attention outside the Village but within Montgomery County (as in the scenario above). We have also drafted new language to modify our agency policy in order to implement the new MOU language. The draft policy would further narrow the scope of the MOU by requiring that 1) the Village officer be on duty, and 2) that the officer discover the existence of the warrant during the course of his/her duties.

I have not issued the policy or the new MOU to Police Department staff pending ratification by the Board. I respectfully request the Board's approval of the MOU so that we can implement it.

I have attached three documents to assist you:

- A markup version of the MOU so that you can see the old language as well as the changes;
- A clean version of the final MOU; and
- Our draft general order which includes the implementation language (see section III. B. on page 1).

**MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN
THE CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT
AND
THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE**

WHEREAS, the Chevy Chase Village Police Department and the Montgomery County Department of Police are desirous of maintaining the highest degree of cooperation in order to provide the maximum level of professional police service utilizing the police personnel of both agencies, all principals agree to the following "Memorandum of Understanding".

Definitions:

| | |
|------------------|--|
| "Village Police" | means the Chevy Chase Village Police Department |
| "County Police" | means the Montgomery County Department of Police |
| "Village" | means Chevy Chase Village |
| "County" | means Montgomery County, Maryland |

ARTICLE 1 CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE POLICE RESPONSIBILITY

- 1.1 Village Police officers have concurrent responsibility for the enforcement of all Village, County, and State laws within its geographical boundaries. Village Police officers will **provide patrol and 1st responder services, as well as other functions as may be required by the Village Police Chief, within the Village boundaries.** ~~concentrate patrol, both vehicular and foot, within the Village boundaries where visible deterrent is most effective. Additionally, Village Police officers shall assume the responsibility of checking business establishments, enforcing traffic laws, and other special duties as may be required by the Village Police Chief~~
- 1.2 Village Police officers shall respond as directed by the Public Safety Communications Center and shall clear calls for service in accordance with County Police procedures. **Village Police officers shall write police reports in accordance with Village Police report writing procedures. Following review by a Village Police supervisor and command staff, copies of Village Police reports will be forwarded to the County Police 2nd District and to any County Police specialized unit as necessary and appropriate.** ~~and/or write reports in accordance with the County Police Field Report Manual. Such reports shall be filed in a timely manner with the County Police Records Division. Such reports shall be submitted to the County Police Records Division after appropriate review by a Village Police supervisor and command staff.~~
- 1.3 ~~The~~ **A** Village Police officer dispatched to the scene by the County's Public Safety Communications Center shall assume authority on the scene in the absence of a Village Police supervising officer or an officer of the rank of Sergeant or above of the County Police, with the exception of specialized units.

- 1.4 Village Police officers, pursuant to the provisions of Maryland Code, Criminal Procedure Article 2-102, can enforce County and State laws, except the Maryland Vehicle Law, outside the Village geographical boundaries when ~~directed~~ **requested** by the County's Public Safety Communications Center or a County Police supervisor to respond to a location outside the geographical boundaries of the Village. This responsibility includes only the authority to respond to any County Police officer or Public Safety Communications Center request for backup or assistance in the vicinity of the geographical boundaries of the Village. A request by the Montgomery County Police for Village officers or other Village Police resources not within the vicinity of the of the Village's geographical boundaries will be evaluated by the on-duty Village Police supervisor prior to the Village agreeing or declining to provide those officers or resources. The Village Police officer dispatched to the scene by the Public Safety Communications Center shall assume authority on the scene until the first County Police officer arrives at the scene
- 1.5 **As authorized by §2-103 of the Criminal Procedure Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, Village Police officers may serve an arrest warrant in the County in an emergency or non-emergency situation. When practical, the Village Police officer attempting service shall notify the County Police of the warrant attempt, including location and name of the defendant, prior to service of the warrant. Whenever practical, a County Police officer shall accompany the Village Police officer attempting service. When serving arrest warrants under the terms of this paragraph, arresting Village Police Officers are participating in a joint operation (regarding the service of arrest warrants) with the County Police, as authorized by Section 2-103 (b) of the Criminal Procedure Article of the Maryland Code. ~~when serving arrest warrants under the terms of this paragraph.~~ Village Police Officers serving said arrest warrants must act in accordance with the regulations adopted by the Village Police Chief to carry out this joint operation.**
- 1.6 In the event a Village Police officer responds to an incident requiring specialized support services not within the scope of existent Village Police resources, the Village Police officer shall promptly notify the appropriate County Police unit and explain in detail the nature of assistance required. This will be accomplished via telephone wherever possible. Upon arrival of such specialized County Police officer(s), the Village Police officer will adhere to their direction.
- 1.7 Upon arresting an individual wanted on a Montgomery County warrant, ~~the~~ **a Village Police officer** shall serve that individual with the warrant.
- 1.8 The Village Police shall maintain its General Orders and policies of patrol procedures in general accordance with existing County Police standards so as to minimize any conflicts between the operating procedures of the two departments.
- 1.9 The Village Police Chief, or designee, shall forward to the County Police Second District Commander (Bethesda), any complaints of police misconduct by County Police officers and/or failure of County Police officers to meet the standards of this Agreement.

- 1.10 Upon receiving written complaints against its officers for **misconduct or failure**ing to comply with this Agreement, the Village Police shall take appropriate action. ~~to assure compliance.~~

ARTICLE 2 MONTGOMERY COUNTY POLICE RESPONSIBILITY

- 2.1 The County Police shall provide services within the Village to the same extent and degree as services are performed and furnished in other areas within the County, including the investigation of criminal offenses, traffic collisions, and enforcement of traffic laws. ~~as well as the use of speed control devices.~~
- 2.2 The County Police shall make available to the Village Police, upon request, statistical data relative to criminal activities, motor vehicle collisions and other pertinent information, as maintained by the County Police.
- 2.3 County Police officers will be dispatched to calls for service received by the County's Public Safety Communications Center within the Village on a nearest available basis.
- 2.4 The County Police shall assign to the Village Police radio numbers with 9-Mary prefixes. Village Police units may be dispatched by the County's Public Safety Communications Center to handle any call in the Village or to assist County Police units **within the Village** as required.
- 2.5 ~~The County Police shall be the recipient of all County Police event reports and Maryland State Police collisions reports taken by Village Police officers and County Police officers in the Village. All reports shall be reviewed in accordance with existing County Police policy.~~ When a County Police detective investigates an incident within the Village Police jurisdiction, the County Police will report the crime statistic to the Maryland State Police UCR program.
- 2.6 ~~The County Police shall provide the necessary training to continually acquaint and update Village Police officers in the proper completion of required reports.~~
- 2.7 The County Police Second District Commander (Bethesda) shall forward to the Village Police Chief any complaints of police misconduct by Village Police officers and/or failure of Village Police officers to meet the standards of this Agreement.
- 2.8 Upon receiving written complaints against its officers for **misconduct or failure** to comply with this Agreement, the County Police shall take the appropriate action. ~~to assure compliance.~~
- 2.9 Upon arresting an individual wanted on a Village Police warrant, a County Police officer shall serve that individual with the warrant.
- 2.10 In the event that County Police units are called to respond to a **critical incident within the Village, such as an active shooter incident, or** hostage/barricade situation, **or other critical incident, within the Village**, the responding County "Incident Commander", as specified in County Police Directive 950, will assume command of the scene in accordance with the procedures contained therein.

ARTICLE 3 REVIEW OF AGREEMENT

3.1 Representatives of the two departments will meet periodically to review this Agreement and make such modifications as may be deemed necessary.

ARTICLE 4 TERMINATION

4.1 This Agreement may be terminated within sixty days by either party upon written notice from one party to the other.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Memorandum of Understanding on this _____ day of _____, 2016.

By: _____
John M. Fitzgerald
Chief of Police
Chevy Chase Village Police Department

By: _____
J. Thomas Manger
Chief of Police
Montgomery County Department of Police

**MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN
THE CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT
AND
THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE**

WHEREAS, the Chevy Chase Village Police Department and the Montgomery County Department of Police are desirous of maintaining the highest degree of cooperation in order to provide the maximum level of professional police service utilizing the police personnel of both agencies, all principals agree to the following "Memorandum of Understanding".

Definitions:

| | |
|------------------|--|
| "Village Police" | means the Chevy Chase Village Police Department |
| "County Police" | means the Montgomery County Department of Police |
| "Village" | means Chevy Chase Village |
| "County" | means Montgomery County, Maryland |

ARTICLE 1 CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE POLICE RESPONSIBILITY

- 1.1 Village Police officers have concurrent responsibility for the enforcement of all Village, County, and State laws within its geographical boundaries. Village Police officers will provide patrol and 1st responder services, as well as other functions as may be required by the Village Police Chief, within Village boundaries.
- 1.2 Village Police officers shall respond as directed by the Public Safety Communications Center and shall clear calls for service in accordance with County Police procedures. Village Police officers shall write police reports in accordance with Village Police report writing procedures. Following review by a Village Police supervisor and command staff, copies of Village Police reports will be forwarded to the County Police 2nd District and to any County Police specialized unit as necessary and appropriate.
- 1.3 A Village Police officer dispatched to the scene by the County's Public Safety Communications Center shall assume authority on the scene in the absence of a Village Police supervising officer or an officer of the rank of Sergeant or above of the County Police, with the exception of specialized units.
- 1.4 Village Police officers, pursuant to the provisions of Maryland Code, Criminal Procedure Article 2-102, can enforce County and State laws, except the Maryland Vehicle Law, outside the Village geographical boundaries when requested by the County's Public Safety Communications Center or a County Police supervisor to respond to a location outside the geographical boundaries of the Village. This responsibility includes only the authority to respond to any County Police officer or Public Safety Communications Center request for backup or assistance in the vicinity of the geographical boundaries of the Village. A request by the Montgomery County Police for Village officers or other Village Police resources not within the vicinity of the of the Village's geographical boundaries will be evaluated by the on-duty Village Police supervisor prior to the Village agreeing or declining to provide those officers or resources. The Village Police officer dispatched to the scene by the Public Safety Communications Center shall assume authority on the scene until the first County Police officer arrives at the scene
- 1.5 As authorized by §2-103 of the Criminal Procedure Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, Village Police officers may serve an arrest warrant in the County (outside the Village boundaries) in an emergency or non-emergency situation. When practical, the Village Police officer attempting service shall notify the

County Police of the warrant attempt, including location and name of the defendant, prior to service of the warrant. Whenever practical, a County Police officer shall accompany the Village Police officer attempting service. When serving arrest warrants under the terms of this paragraph, arresting Village Police Officers are participating in a joint operation (regarding the service of arrest warrants) with the County Police, as authorized by Section 2-103 (b) of the Criminal Procedure Article of the Maryland Code. Village Police Officers serving said arrest warrants must act in accordance with the regulations adopted by the Village Police Chief to carry out this joint operation.

- 1.6 In the event a Village Police officer responds to an incident requiring specialized support services not within the scope of existent Village Police resources, the Village Police officer shall promptly notify the appropriate County Police unit and explain in detail the nature of assistance required. This will be accomplished via telephone wherever possible. Upon arrival of such specialized County Police officer(s), the Village Police officer will adhere to their direction.
- 1.7 Upon arresting an individual wanted on a Montgomery County warrant, a Village Police officer shall serve that individual with the warrant.
- 1.8 The Village Police shall maintain its General Orders and policies of patrol procedures in general accordance with existing County Police standards so as to minimize any conflicts between the operating procedures of the two departments.
- 1.9 The Village Police Chief, or designee, shall forward to the County Police Second District Commander (Bethesda), any complaints of police misconduct by County Police officers and/or failure of County Police officers to meet the standards of this Agreement.
- 1.10 Upon receiving written complaints against its officers for misconduct or failure to comply with this Agreement, the Village Police shall take appropriate action.

ARTICLE 2 MONTGOMERY COUNTY POLICE RESPONSIBILITY

- 2.1 The County Police shall provide services within the Village to the same extent and degree as services are performed and furnished in other areas within the County, including the investigation of criminal offenses, traffic collisions, and enforcement of traffic laws.
- 2.2 The County Police shall make available to the Village Police, upon request, statistical data relative to criminal activities, motor vehicle collisions and other pertinent information, as maintained by the County Police.
- 2.3 County Police officers will be dispatched to calls for service received by the County's Public Safety Communications Center within the Village on a nearest available basis.
- 2.4 * The County Police shall assign to the Village Police radio numbers with 9-Mary prefixes. Village Police units may be dispatched by the County's Public Safety Communications Center to handle any call in the Village or to assist County Police units within the Village as required.

- 2.5 When a County Police detective investigates an incident within the Village Police jurisdiction, the investigation will be documented by the county police detective in the County Police RMS and the County Police will report the crime statistic to the Maryland State Police UCR program.
- 2.6 The County Police Second District Commander (Bethesda) shall forward to the Village Police Chief any complaints of police misconduct by Village Police officers and/or failure of Village Police officers to meet the standards of this Agreement.
- 2.7 Upon receiving written complaints against its officers for misconduct or failure to comply with this Agreement, the County Police shall take the appropriate action.
- 2.8 Upon arresting an individual wanted on a Village Police warrant, a County Police officer shall serve that individual with the warrant.
- 2.9 In the event that County Police units are called to respond to a critical incident within the Village, such as an active shooter incident, hostage/barricade situation, or other critical incident, the responding County "Incident Commander", as specified in County Police Function Code 950, will assume command of the scene in accordance with the procedures contained therein.

ARTICLE 3 REVIEW OF AGREEMENT

- 3.1 Representatives of the two departments will meet periodically to review this Agreement and make such modifications as may be deemed necessary.


ARTICLE 4 TERMINATION

- 4.1 This Agreement may be terminated within sixty days by either party upon written notice from one party to the other.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Memorandum of Understanding on this

9TH day of AUGUST, 2016.

By: 
John M. Fitzgerald
Chief of Police
Chevy Chase Village Police Department

By: 
J. Thomas Manger
Chief of Police
Montgomery County Department of Police



**CHEVY CHASE
VILLAGE
POLICE
DEPARTMENT**

J.M.F.

Police Chief: John M. Fitzgerald

**GENERAL ORDER: 5-29 SERVICE OF WARRANTS AND
PROCESING ARRESTED PERSONS**

DATE: XX/XX/2016 Pages: 2 ♦ New ♦ Amended ♦ Revised—5-29

I. POLICY

Arrests are one of the duties required of police officers. It is the policy of the Department that arrests shall be made so that:

- they comply with the U.S. and Maryland Constitutions and the laws of arrest, and
- to the extent possible, they do not jeopardize the safety of bystanders or arresting officer(s).

II. AUTHORITY TO SERVE WARRANTS

- A. Pursuant to the provisions of Maryland Rule 4-212, only police officers or sheriffs/deputies are authorized to serve summonses or warrants.
- B. Officers are authorized to serve, or participate in the service of the following documents:
1. Arrest warrants
 2. Traffic warrants
 3. Bench warrants
 4. Juvenile warrants
 5. Body attachments
 6. Search/Seizure warrants
 7. Administrative Search warrants
 8. Retake warrants
 9. Statements of Charges
 10. Emergency Evaluation Petitions
 11. District Court Summonses
 12. Fugitive Warrants

III. ARREST WARRANT SERVICE PROCEDURES

A. **ALL WARRANTS MUST BE CONFIRMED BEFORE AN ARREST IS MADE.** If an officer learns from any source (computer 'hit'; admission made by the wanted person; tip from a family member or other informant, etc.) that a person is "wanted" on any type of warrant, the officer will request ECC to confirm the warrant before an arrest is made on the strength of that warrant. The officer must also verify the identity of the individual using whatever reasonable means are available (physical descriptors, photo ID cards, AFIS finger-

print scans, and other factors). ECC will then contact the agency that wants the subject to ensure that the warrant is valid and that the warrant is on file. Officers must not make an arrest on a warrant until the warrant has been confirmed.

B. After confirming the warrant (see C. 1. above), officers may arrest a wanted person whom they encounter under the following circumstances:

1. When the encounter is made in Chevy Chase Village, or
2. When the encounter is made in Montgomery County outside of Chevy Chase Village and:
 - the Village police officer is on duty;
 - and
 - the Village officer discovers the existence of the warrant during the course of his/her duties.

C. Subject to Section III.B, above, warrants (arrest warrants, bench warrants, traffic warrants, juvenile warrants) may be served at any time, except that, if the charge(s) on the warrant are of a very minor nature (as determined by the on-duty supervisor or senior officer), service or execution of the warrant will be made at a reasonable hour; i.e., between the hours of 0700 and 2200.

D. Once an adult arrest is made, officers shall transport the individual to the CPU.

E. Juvenile warrants are issued by judges generally assigned to the Juvenile Court. If an officer arrests a juvenile base on a juvenile warrant:

1. During regular business hours, call the DJS office in Rockville at 301-610-8500. Officers will most likely be directed to bring the arrested juvenile directly to the Juvenile Court.
2. After 5pm and on weekends/holidays, call 877-404-3724 and speak to the on-call DJS intake officer; follow their direction.

E. Officers will not *unnecessarily delay serving* a warrant if any of the following criteria exist:

- The defendant is considered an escape risk an/or is likely to avoid service at a later time, or
- The defendant is wanted on other charges, or
- The defendant is a suspect in other *criminal* cases, or
- The defendant is in imminent danger of causing harm to himself or others, or
- The defendant, unless immediately apprehended, may tamper with, dispose of, or destroy evidence, or
- The defendant, unless immediately apprehended, may cause injury to the person or damage to the property of one or more other persons.

F. If the officer intends to ask the defendant any questions about the *crime charged in the warrant (or about any other crime) while the person is in custody*, the defendant will be advised of his *Miranda* rights *via* MCP Form #50. If the defendant asks for an attorney, the defendant will be allowed access to a phone and officers will not monitor or listen to communications between the defendant and his attorney. A record of the warrant service will be documented on an Incident Report.

G. Village Officers will follow MCP Function Code 513 'Processing Arrested Persons' (attached). In addition to the procedures within this general order, Village officers shall adhere to those contained in Montgomery County's Function Code 513, 'Processing Arrested Persons.' FC513 provides additional details related to the arrest process at CPU, juvenile charges, and forms completion.

IV. SEARCH WARRANT PROCEDURES

- A. Officers considering obtaining a search warrant shall first consult with the Lieutenant.
- B. All applications/affidavits for search warrants shall be reviewed and approved by the Chief of Police prior to being reviewed by a judge.
- C. The lieutenant shall ensure that the service of any search warrant obtained by a Village police officer is coordinated with:
1. the Montgomery County Police Department if the location to be searched is within the Village, or
 2. with the appropriate local law enforcement agency if the location to be searched is outside of Chevy Chase Village.
- D. A supervisor from CCVPD will be present when any search warrant is executed. The supervisor will be responsible for ensuring that proper procedures are followed during the search, that the inventory and return are completed, and that all reporting requirements are fulfilled.

V. PERSONS WANTED BY NON-MARYLAND AGENCIES

A. Wanted by a State or Local Law Enforcement Agency outside of Maryland

1. Request a current "hit" confirmation or TTY from the demanding agency be faxed to CPU.
2. *After confirming the warrant and verifying the identity of the wanted subject*, transport the individual to CPU for processing (refer to FC 811).
3. Copy the front of the CPU 513 (CBF bar code number) for the officer's file and give the envelope to the booking officer
4. Complete a DC/CR 30, "Charge Against Fugitive."
5. Complete an event report (classification 2752).
6. Fax a copy of the event report to the Fugitive Section by 0700 hours the next day at (240) 773-5325. (CPU will complete necessary fingerprint cards, photos, arrest report and bring the person before the Commissioner and fax copies of their paper work to MCP Fugitive Unit by 0700 hours).

B. Wanted by Federal or Military Agencies

If a person is arrested because he is wanted by a federal or military law enforcement agency and no local charges are anticipated, simply notify the agency that wants the person to respond to accept custody.

VI. EXEMPTIONS FROM ARREST AND CRIMINAL PROCESS SERVICE

Title 9, Sub-Title 304 of the "Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article," states:

- A. If a person comes into this State in obedience to a summons directing him to attend and testify in this State he shall not while in this State pursuant to such summons be subject to arrest or the service of process, civil or criminal, in connection with matters which arose before his entrance into this State under the summons.
- B. If a person passes through this State while going to another State in obedience to a summons to attend and testify in that State or while returning there from, he shall not while so passing through this State be subject to arrest or the service of process, civil or criminal, in connection with matters which arose before his entrance into this State under the summons.

This directive voids the previous version dated 5/21/2009 .

August 2016 CCVPD Highlights

I. Crime/Operational

1. **Arrest in daytime theft-from-vehicle trend:** We alerted residents to the trend by issuing a blast on July 29. On August 1, the suspect struck again on Hesketh Street and was seen by the victim. A Village officer spotted the suspect in DC and kept him under surveillance while waiting for DC police. A Village officer watched the suspect steal from a work van in DC, and detained the juvenile until DC arrived and arrested him.
2. **Two cars stolen from the same home in the 5800 block of Kirkside Drive.** Residents awoke on Friday morning, August 12 to find both of their cars missing. At about 10pm that evening, DC police spotted one of the cars on Rhode Island Avenue, NW. DC police arrested 2 following a foot chase, but a third suspect was able to drive off in the stolen car. Both cars remain stolen as of this writing.
3. **5 unlocked vehicles entered:**
 - Hesketh Street between Kirkside and Wisconsin: Wallet stolen in the daytime from contractor's truck; suspect spotted in DC by Village officer; arrest made by DC police (see above); wallet recovered.
 - Grafton Street between Cedar and Kirkside: Cell phone taken in daytime from contractor's truck.
 - 6300 block of Broadbranch Road: Three unlocked cars entered overnight; nothing taken.
4. **Other thefts:**
 - 5400 block of Center Street: 3 bicycles were taken from a rack mounted on the roof of an SUV overnight August 20-21.
 - 6400 block Connecticut Avenue: Copper downspouts were stolen at nighttime between August 24-26.

II. Administrative

1. **Deferral of \$30,000 mobile radio purchase:** The Board approved \$32,000 in the FY17 CIP for the replacement of our in-car radios; the purchase was timed to coincide with the completion of the county's radio infrastructure build-out. We recently learned that the completion date is now December, 2018 (mid-FY19). We will not spend the funds this FY; we expect to make this purchase no earlier than FY19.
2. **New laws:** A brief summary of selected legislation going into effect on October 1 is attached.

| Select Reported Crimes 2016 vs. 2015 | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| | August '16 | August '15 | YTD'16 | YTD'15 |
| Assault | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Auto Theft & attempts | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Burglary & attempts | 0 | 0 | 1* | 6 |
| Theft & attempts | 7 | 7 | 53 | 42 |
| Robbery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*bicycle stolen from inside an open garage

| Select Reported Crimes 2010-Present | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------------------------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 as of 08/31/16 |
| Assault | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Auto Theft & attempts | 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Burglary & attempts | 7 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 1 |
| Theft & attempts | 46 | 67 | 97 | 60 | 38 | 75 | 53 |
| Robbery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Summary of selected new statutes going into effect on October 1, 2016

1. **Public Safety and Policing Workgroup** (HB1016; police accountability; we have been working on compliance since the bill passed and will be in good shape on October 1)
 - LEOBR changes:
 - hearings open to the public;
 - may include up to 2 voting or non-voting members of the public who have received training if local law allows; even without local law, Chief may appoint 1 non-voting member;
 - reduced from 10 days to 5 business days the time for an accused officer to obtain representation prior to interrogation
 - relaxed rules which limited complaints of excessive force (366 days; notary not req'd)
 - Agency policies must be posted online
 - Police Training Commission is renamed the Police Training and *Standards* Commission, and additional members were added. The PTSC is required to develop standards for:
 - use of force;
 - recruitment to increase diversity;
 - psychological testing of police officers involved in traumatic incidents;
 - a uniform citizen complaint process
 - All agencies must develop a community policing program, post it online and send it to the PTSC for review
 - All agencies must have a non-punitive early warning/intervention system to counsel officers who receive 3 or more complaints within a 12-month period
 - Officers must attend de-escalation and anti-discrimination training every 2 years
2. **Marijuana-related veto override** (SB517 from the 2015 Session)
 - Legalized the possession and use of marijuana paraphernalia
 - Decriminalized smoking marijuana in public (civil violation)
3. **Noah's Law** (named after MCP Officer Noah Leotta who was fatally injured by a DUI; SB945)
 - Ignition interlock for DUI convictions
4. **EZPass Transponder Theft Reporting and Unauthorized Charges** (HB523)
 - Owners of EZPass transponders must report theft to local PD and to Maryland Transportation Authority (MTA) within 2 weeks of the first account statement following a theft
 - Owner will not be responsible for unauthorized charges after the date the report was made to MTA
5. **Drivers Must Carry Proof of Insurance** (HB720)
 - Proof may be a card or an electronic image displayed on a smart phone (or similar device)
 - Must present it to a police officer on demand; failure to do so = \$50 fine
6. **Furnishing alcohol to a minor who later drives** (SB409)
 - Misdemeanor for a person to furnish alcohol to a minor (under 21) when the person knew or should have known that the minor would drive (1 year/\$5000 fine)
7. **Justice Reinvestment Act** (SB1005)
 - Goal is to reduce mass incarceration and create a fund for treatment
 - Eliminated mandatory minimum sentences for drug offenses
 - Reduced penalties for several drug offenses and non-violent crimes
 - Increased (from \$1000 to \$1500) threshold for felony theft



POLICE DEPARTMENT
 5906 Connecticut Avenue, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815
 (301) 654-7300 FAX: (301) 654-7304
 chevychasevillagemd.gov



John Fitzgerald
 Chief of Police

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Fitzgerald, Chief of Police
FROM: Bruce I Gessford, Communications Lead Dispatcher *BIG*
DATE: September 1, 2016
SUBJECT: Monthly Communications Report for August 2016

| | <u>Aug. 16</u> | <u>YTD 16</u> | <u>Aug. 15</u> | <u>YTD15</u> |
|---|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Walk In | 230 | 1,396 | 253 | 1,334 |
| Incoming Phone Calls requiring no action* | 1,098 | 8,358 | 1,094 | 8,754 |
| Incoming Phone Calls requiring an action** | 360 | 2,440 | 358 | 2,624 |
| House Check Requests | 238 | 1,386 | 241 | 1,485 |
| Key Pick Up/Drop Off | 51 | 389 | 57 | 448 |
| Mail Pick Up | 161 | 771 | 173 | 728 |
| Special Pick Up Requests | 78 | 639 | 80 | 699 |
| Trash and Recycle Complaints | 3 | 16 | 2 | 21 |

***Note: Incoming phone calls requiring no action include: information requests, forwarding calls internally and externally, providing directions, etc.**

****Note: Incoming phone calls requiring an action may include: house check requests, special pick ups, trash and recycle complaints, etc.**

Chevy Chase Village Monthly Incident Report August 2016

| Burglary (including attempts) | 0 | Theft from Auto (including attempts) | 5 |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---|----------|
| 911 Disconnect | 0 | Lost Property | 0 |
| Alarm | 40 | Miscellaneous | 16 |
| Animal Bite | 0 | Mental Illness | 2 |
| Animal Complaint | 3 | Missing Person | 0 |
| Assist Citizen | 0 | Noise Complaint | 0 |
| Assist Other Agency | 12 | Open Door | 11 |
| Attempted Theft of Auto/Other Vehicle | 0 | Power Outage | 0 |
| Burglary (including attempts) | 0 | Parking Complaint | 1 |
| CDS - Possession Paraphernalia | 0 | Recovered Property/Montgomery County | 5 |
| Check the Welfare | 3 | Recovered Property/Other | 2 |
| Code Enforcement | 4 | Suspicious Persons/Vehicles | 10 |
| Collisions | 16 | Sudden Death - Natural | 0 |
| Hit and Run Property Damage | 2 | Thefts (including attempts) | 8 |
| Property Damage Collision | 13 | Thefts from Auto | 5 |
| Personal Injury Collision | 1 | Thefts from Other | 2 |
| Community/Citizen Contact | 0 | Theft of Auto/Other Vehicle | 1 |
| Disabled Vehicle | 1 | Threatening/Annoying Phone Call | 0 |
| Disorderly Conduct | 0 | Traffic Stop | 1 |
| Family Trouble | 0 | Trees / Limbs Down | 5 |
| Fire-Other | 1 | Trespassing | 0 |
| Identity Theft / Forgery | 1 | Vandalism | 0 |
| Hazard - General | 0 | Vandalism-Motor Vehicle | 0 |
| Hazard - Roadway | 2 | Vendor Violation | 5 |
| Ill Person | 2 | Wanted Person | 0 |
| Injury Non-Traffic | 1 | Water Main Break | 0 |
| Investigation/Police Information | 0 | Wires Down | 0 |

Collisions within Chevy Chase Village August 2016

| Connecticut Avenue@ | | Brookville Road | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| Bradley Lane | 2 | Newlands Street | 1 |
| Primrose Street | 2 | East Melrose Street | 1 |
| East Melrose Street | 1 | East Lenox Street | |
| East Irving Street | 1 | Other Locations | |
| Chevy Chase Circle@ | | 5906 Connecticut Avenue | 1 |
| Connecticut Avenue | 5 | 30 Grafton Street | 1 |
| | | 15 West Lenox Street | 1 |

Chevy Chase Village Monthly Traffic Report August 2016


Chevy Chase Village Code Enforcement Report August 2016

| | | | |
|--------------------------|----|------------------------------------|---|
| Equipment Repair Orders | 4 | Police Officers | |
| Miscellaneous Violations | 9 | Compliance Inquiry | 4 |
| Parking Violations | 1 | Investigations | 0 |
| Sign Violations | 2 | | |
| Warnings Written | 23 | Municipal & Civil Citations Issued | 0 |
| Speeding Violations | 1 | Municipal & Civil Warnings Issued | 1 |

Chevy Chase Village Agency Personnel Report

| | Aug. | YTD |
|---------------------|------|-----|
| Citizen Complaints | 0 | 0 |
| Citizen Compliments | 2 | 17 |

Chevy Chase Village Police Department Officer Hours for August 2016 within Chevy Chase Village




* Note: The SafeSpeed Coordinator's hours are 143.27, which are not included above


CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE POLICE

09/06/2016 09:00:05


Incident Frequency by DISTRICT (Top 4 of 4 Shown) (Using DATE RECD)



By Day of Week



Weekday vs Weekend



Search Criteria: (DISTRICT >= 'ZONE1')
 (DISTRICT <= 'ZONE4')
 (INCDNUM like '%%')
 (DATE_RECD >= TO_DATE('08/01/2016','MM/DD/YYYY'))
 (DATE_RECD <= TO_DATE('08/31/2016','MM/DD/YYYY'))

Chevy Chase Village
Building & Tree Removal Permits
Permits Issued- July 2016

Building Permits

| Permit # | Address | Type of Work |
|------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 6999 | 104 East Melrose Street | Construct driveway and walkways. |
| 6998 | 104 East Melrose Street | Construct pool house. |
| 6997 | 104 East Melrose Street | Construct addition and interior alterations. |
| 6931- amended | 15 East Lenox Street | Construct two story addition- amended to extend construction an additional two feet. |
| 6996 | 5636 Western Avenue | Install new brick over existing concrete base. |
| 6995 | 6216 Western Avenue | Install new stepping stone walkway and construct grilling patio. |
| 6993 | 105 Primrose Street | Install 35' of 1½" copper line for house water upgrade. |
| 6991 | 5515 Kirkside Drive | Construct second floor over existing sunroom & new rear entrance. |
| 6990 | 106 Grafton Street | Install replacement air conditioner in the east side yard. |
| 6989 | 205 Primrose Street | Resurface and expand asphalt driveway. |
| 6988 | 7 Oxford Street | Construct new rear patio; replace front walkway, driveway & apron. |
| 6986 | 207 Primrose Street | Install 11' of 1½" copper line for house water upgrade. |
| 6983 | 5604 Kirkside Drive | Replace front walkway and install new handrail. |
| 6982 | 5904 Cedar Parkway | Install new air conditioner in the rear yard. |

Tree Removal Permit

| Permit # | Address | Type of Tree | Reason for Removal | Reforestation Indicated* |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2255 | 10 Quincy Street | (1) Hickory | Hazardous and in decline | No |
| 2254 | 27 West Kirke St. | (1) Cherry | Hazard to property; also cracked | No |
| 2253 | 3708 Bradley Ln. | (1) Black Locust | Dead | No |
| 2252 | 5615 Kirkside Dr. | (3) Hollies | Dead | No |
| 2251 | 5509 Grove St. | (1) Holly | Dying | No |
| | | (1) Redbud | Hazardous | |
| 2250 | 106 Primrose St. | (1) Dogwood | Dead | No |
| 2249 | 2 West Melrose St. | (1) Hornbeam | Dead | Yes |

* Since commencing recordation of reforestation intentions in November of 2013, 57 of 122 Applicants (46%) indicated that they would be voluntarily reforesting on their property.

Expiring Permit Notices Mailed This Month

None Required

Chevy Chase Village

Permitting and Code Enforcement Activity July 2016

Telephone Queries: +/- 65

Walk-Ins: +/- 28

Pre-Design Review Meetings: 1

Municipality Letters (issued to the County for new projects): 1

Administrative Building Permits Issued: 11 Administrative Building Permit; 1 Amendment to a previously issued Building Permit; 4 Dumpster; 2 Utility; 1 Courtesy

Licenses to Use the Public Right-of-Way: 0

Appeals: One Decision from a Special Permit request granted at the July meeting was drafted, circulated, and approved; two variance requests (at one address) were withdrawn at the July meeting and will be on the October 10 meeting agenda. A decision from the April meeting, requiring removal of a structure installed in the front yard of a property, was drafted, reviewed by Counsel and is awaiting issuance.

Tree Ordinance Board: None ongoing.

Enforcement Incidents: Routine code enforcement patrol and site inspections of construction projects were conducted. Additionally:

- Water had begun ponding along a portion of the public sidewalk on Oliver Street. Staff visited with the resident and determined that an unpermitted underground drainage pipe (the installation pre-dated the current resident's time at the property) had broken and was leaking. The resident obtained a building permit and license to use the public right-of-way and had the pipe repaired and the curb reinforced. There have been no further problems with the pipe or water on the sidewalk.
- While on routine Code enforcement patrol staff observed that modifications were being made to the approved plans for an addition. The alteration would not impact the compliance of the structure with CCV regulations, however the property is located in the Historic District and so any alteration to the approved plans needs to be approved by HPC. Staff advised the contractor that it would be necessary to provide documentation to CCV that the HPC approval had been obtained and then apply for an amendment to the CCV permit.
- During installation of a new swimming pool staff observed that the location of the pool equipment was encroaching into the side yard setback; the contractor relocated the panel to a compliant location.
- Unpermitted commercial and real estate signs were observed and responded to.

Tree Requests: 8 requests were made to the Village arborist for tree inspections pursuant to removals or Tree Protection Plan requests for construction projects.

Administrative Tree Removal Permits: 7 permits were issued for removal of a total of ten (10) trees.

Expired Permit Memos Sent: None required

-compiled by Ellen Sands, Permitting and Code Enforcement Coordinator

Chevy Chase Village
Building & Tree Removal Permits
Permits Issued- August 2016

Building Permits

| Permit # | Address | Type of Work |
|-----------------|---|---|
| 7021 | 105 Primrose Street | Upgrade existing gas service. |
| 7019 | 115 East Melrose Street | Install replacement air conditioner in the west side yard. |
| 7016 | 27 Quincy Street | Install fence in the west side yard. |
| 7015 | 25 Quincy Street | Install fence in the east side yard. |
| 7014 | 6131 Nevada Avenue | Interior alterations; addition over existing one-story addition. |
| 7012 | 16 West Kirke Street | Install geothermal system. |
| 7011 | 126 Grafton Street | Install new fence in the rear yard. |
| 7010 | 5610 Cedar Parkway | Install new fence in the rear and side yards. |
| 7009 | 10 Oxford Street | Replace sewer line house connection. |
| 7008 | 5504 Grove Street | Install new fence in the rear yard. |
| 7007 | 104 East Melrose Street | Construct new fences and walls in the side and rear yards. |
| 7004 | 7 Oxford Street | Install (2) 4" drain pipes through the Primrose Street public right-of-way. |
| 7003 | 106 Primrose Street | Replace front walkway in same location. |
| 7002 | 5603 Park Street; 3929 Oliver Street; 4014 Oliver Street 4015 Oliver Street; 5512 Center Street | Replace gas service connections to five (5) homes. |

Tree Removal Permit

| Permit # | Address | Type of Tree | Reason for Removal | Reforestation Indicated* |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2262 | 103 Newlands Street | (1) each: Ash, Elm, Magnolia, Japanese Maple | All dead | TBD |
| 2261 | 4102 Oliver Street | (1) Spruce | Dead | No |
| 2260 | 5512 Center Street | (1) Silver Maple | Hazardous; decay | Yes |
| 2259 | 104 East Lenox St. | (1) White Pine | Hazardous; cracked | Yes |
| 2258 | 4 East Lenox Street | (1) Holly; (1) Norway Maple | Hazardous- cracked; Dead | No |
| 2257 | 142 Grafton Street | (1) Dogwood; (1) Japanese Maple | Dead Dead | Yes |
| 2256 | 7 East Irving Street | (1) Sycamore | Hazardous | Yes |

* Since commencing recordation of reforestation intentions in November of 2013, 61 of 128 Applicants (47%) indicated that they would be voluntarily reforesting on their property.

Expiring Permit Notices Mailed This Month

| | | |
|------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 6806 | 5508 Park Street | Replace fencing in the rear yard. |
|------|------------------|-----------------------------------|

Chevy Chase Village

Permitting and Code Enforcement Activity August 2016

Telephone Queries: +/- 105

Walk-Ins: +/- 70

Pre-Design Review Meetings: 4

Municipality Letters (issued to the County for new projects): 4

Administrative Building Permits Issued: 11 Administrative Building Permit; 1 Administrative Special Permit (a second is in process); 5 Dumpster; 1 Dumpster Extension; 3 Utility; 1 Portable Storage Unit

Licenses to Use the Public Right-of-Way: 1

Appeals: One variance request and Special Permit request (at the same address) were prepared for the September 12 meeting agenda. A variance request at an additional address was also prepared. A decision from the April meeting, requiring removal of a structure installed in the front yard of a property, was issued.

Administrative Appeals: Two administrative appeals were completed, one for an air conditioner replacement and one for a driveway replacement. One is in process, for a driveway replacement.

Tree Ordinance Board: None ongoing.

Enforcement Incidents: Routine code enforcement patrol and site inspections of construction projects were conducted. Additionally:

- While on a site visit at one property, staff observed that a drain pipe had been installed at an abutting property such that stormwater runoff was being directed toward the neighbor. Staff has contacted the resident and is determining a course of action.
- A resident removed all the limbs from a Cherry tree at their property, leaving only a trunk. The tree was over the threshold for requiring a permit to remove and the extent of pruning appeared extreme. The resident stated that the tree appeared dead and he was beginning the removal process. Staff directed that no further work was allowed until the Village arborist had assessed the tree. The arborist determined that in fact based on bark samples the tree appeared to have been in decline or dead.
- Multiple unpermitted real estate and commercial signs were removed or the brokers were contacted. An article has been included in the most recent *Crier* article regarding sign permit requirements.
- A dumpster was placed at a property prior to obtaining the required permit. At another address, a dumpster was placed in the street. Both instances were rectified.
- Renters at a property left shopping carts from a grocery store and an old chair in the driveway. Staff contacted them- the carts were returned and the chair disposed of.
- A contractor at a construction site was pumping stormwater out of a foundation excavation and directing it towards the neighbor. Staff contacted the contractor who removed the pipe.
- Staff sent a new round of letters to residents whose shrubbery had overgrown the sidewalk or right-of-way and had to contact a resident who had left town without making arrangements for maintenance of their grass.
- In light of several thefts from vehicles during the day, staff reached out to contractors advising them to alert their crews to keep tools and vehicles locked.

Tree Requests: 14 requests were made to the Village arborist for tree inspections pursuant to removals or Tree Protection Plan requests for construction projects.

Administrative Tree Removal Permits: 7 permits were issued for removal of a total of twelve (12) trees.

Expired Permit Memos Sent: 1

Memo

To: Board of Managers
From: Michael W. Younes, Director of Municipal Operations *MW*
CC: Shana Davis-Cook, Village Manager
Date: 9/6/2016
Re: Update on Capital and Infrastructure Upgrade Projects

Below please find an update on the various capital and infrastructure upgrade projects currently underway throughout the Village:

| | Status | Duration/ Remaining | Est. Start Date | Est. Completion Date |
|--|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| In-Partnership with M-NCPPC | | | | |
| Western Grove Park Development | Construction | 6 months | Ongoing | February 2017 |
| Utility Upgrades | | | | |
| Laurel Park Sewer Rehabilitation | Construction | 2 - 3 weeks | Mid-September 2016 | End-September 2016 |
| Water Main Replacement (5500 block of Western Avenue) | Survey/Design | TBD | Spring 2018 (construction) | TBD |
| Washington Gas Main Replacement – Bradley Lane | Pre-Construction | 1 month | Mid-October 2016 | Mid-November 2016 |
| PEPCO Tree Pruning and Removals | Project Hold | 2 months | Fall 2016 | Winter 2016 |

PEPCO Tree Pruning and Removals:

- PEPCO has received all required approvals from the Village and State Department of Natural Resources for the pruning and removal work within the Village.
- PEPCO will be conducting the pruning and removal work in two (2) phases.
 1. Beginning late fall and early winter, PEPCO will be removing the ten (10) trees approved for removal (3 within the right-of-way and 7 on private property).

2. During the winter PEPCO will conduct its pruning operations.
- Because the pruning will be conducted over the winter when the trees are not leafed out, PEPCO's tree contractor should be able to be more selective in the branches that are removed resulting in more conservative pruning.
 - In addition, pruning will also have the effect of being less visually stark as it would be if the trees had leaves on them.

Washington Gas Main Replacement:

- Washington Gas has submitted for permit review its plans to replace one of the two gas mains located under Bradley Lane.
- The second and larger gas main must remain as low pressure as it serves homes in the District of Columbia, which has yet to begin its conversion to a higher services pressure.
- Staff will present for the Board's consideration and approval the pavement restoration agreement between the Village and Washington Gas. The agreement is structured the same as the executed agreements with WSSC and Washington Gas as part of their previous replacement projects.
- Work to upgrade the main is scheduled to begin in mid-October and last approximately 1 month.
- Prior to starting the work, notice will be distributed to affected residents as well as being posted to the Village website and blast e-mails.

Western Grove Park Development:

- Full site construction activities on the site are in full swing.
- To date, enough money has been fundraised and/or pledged to fully fund the construction of the park.
- Currently, the park contractor is installing the interior exposed aggregate concrete pathways, fountain wall and footers for the seating plaza.
- Overall work is proceeding on schedule, with anticipated completion scheduled for February 2017, weather permitting.

WSSC:

Laurel Park Sewer Rehabilitation:

- Work is set to begin to rehabilitate to sewer main that bisects Laurel Park on Monday, September 12.
- Barring an emergency, such as a pipe collapse or rupture, all work within the park and on private property will be conducted by rehabbing from inside the sewer main (trenchless).
- As part of the work, WSSC will be installing a new manhole in the roadway on Laurel Parkway in order to provide access for the rehab work.

- As part of the work a section of Laurel Parkway between West Melrose Street and the driveway at 5 West Lenox Street will be closed to traffic during the work day but will reopen each evening.
- Weather permitting work should be completed within 2 - 3 weeks.
- Notice of the work and what to expect have been delivered to all abutting residents and posted to the Village website and blast e-mail.

Water/Sewer Main Replacement (5500 block of Western Avenue):

- Right of entry agreements have been received by all 17 properties.
- Accordingly, survey work is ongoing. Once the survey work is completed, WSSC and their contracted engineering firm will conduct an alignment study to determine if the mains can be relocated out of the rear-yards.
- Following the alignment study, WSSC and the Village will once again meet with affected residents to discuss the findings and the recommended course for replacement.
- Replacement activities still would not take place until the spring of 2018.

Memo

To: Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers
From: Melissa Wiak, Community Liaison/Administrative Assistant
CC: Shana R. Davis-Cook, Village Manager
Date: September 6, 2016
Re: Village Hall Use for July & August 2016

Below is a chart that reflects the type and frequency of events held in the Village Hall during the month of July & August 2016:

| Type of Event | Number of Uses | Total Net Revenue |
|--|----------------|-------------------|
| Rentals (Private Events) —Non-profit farewell banquets | 2 | \$225 |
| Community Use —Board of Managers' Monthly Meeting; Board of Managers Special Meeting; Western Grove Park Friends Group meeting | 3 | \$0.00 |
| Fee Waiver Use/Public Use —Chevy Chase @ Home Events (4); Section 5 Monthly Meeting | 5 | \$0.00 |